An In- Silico Study on the Effect of Arabinose against DNA Gyrase for the Treatment of Tuberculosis

Kajal Vashistha¹, Niketa Singh¹, Manish Kumar Noopur Khare², Abhimanyu Kumar Iha^{1,24*}

¹Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences, Institute of Applied Medicines and Research, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

²ShriRamswaroop Memorial University, Barabanki, Uttar Pradesh, India *Corresponding Author: Email address- abhimanyujha630@gmail.com

Abstract-Tuberculosis (TB) is caused by Mycobacteriun tuberculosis bacteria (MTB) which is an infectious disease. Tuberculosis usually attacks in lungs, but can also affect other parts of the body. Inspite of newer modalities for diagnosis and treatment of TB, unfortunately, people are still suffering, and worldwide it is among the top 10 killer infectious diseases, second to HIV. DNA gyrase, is a subclass of the enzyme Type II Topoisomerase, it helps to reduces topological strain in an ATP dependent manner while double-stranded DNA is being unwound by elongating RNA-polymerase. Molecular docking has a vital role in drug discovery. Molecular docking is a technique that is used for determining the interaction between the ligands and a target protein to prepare the drug. The protein which was used in docking study was downloaded from the online server Uniprot. All natural compounds were used for docking study and were downloaded from Pubchem. All Ligands L-Arabinose, L-Rhaminose and D-Xylose were selected from different plants.

Keywords—Docking, Uniprot, Pubchem, DNA gyrase,

I. INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis(TB) is one of the most common communicable disease caused by Mycobacteriuntuberculosis bacteria (MTB),. Tuberculosis usually attacks in lungs, but can also affect otherparts of the body. Mostly the infection symptoms are not seen in body is known as latesttuberculosis [1]. In Early 17,000 years Tuberculosis(TB) is one of the most ancient diseases of mankind, with molecular evidence^[2]. Inspite of newer modalities for diagnosis and treatment of TB, unfortunately, people are still suffering, and worldwide it is among the top 10 killer infectious diseases, secondonly to HIV. When in the body (lungs ,cough or sneeze) of any peopleTuberculosis disease is active which spread from one person to another person by air[1][3].People with latent TB donot spread the disease. Some another names of tuberculosis are phthisis, phthisi spulmonalis, consumption and great white plague.DNAgyrase, is a subclass of the enzyme Type II [4] Topoisomerase, it helps to reducestopological strain in an ATP dependent manner while double-stranded DNA is being unwoundby elongating RNA-polymerase [5][6][7]. The amino coumarins (including novo biocin andCoumermycinA1), which work by competitive inhibition of energy transduction of DNA gyraseby binding to the ATPase active site on the GyrB subunit.

Molecular docking has a vital role in drug discovery. Molecular docking is a technique that is used for determining the interaction between the ligands and a target protein to prepare the drug.[8]. Molecular docking involves basic two steps: calculation of compound confirmation as wellas its position and orientation within these sites and assessment of the binding affinity. Thisstudy is to analyse the active site of the DNA Gyrase Subunit-B protein with some compoundsfor the treatment of cancer, and it was observed that the compound has a potential against DNAGyrase Subunit-B protein as a therapeutic agent for treat tuberculosis disease.

II. METHOD AND MATERIAL

IDENTIFICATION OF PROTEIN:

The protein which was used in docking study was downloaded from the online server Uniprot [9- 10]. Target protein structure was downloaded from Uniprotin ".pdb" format. In the year of 1971, the PDB was established for the purpose of all data of proteins , which is the universal archive of structural data of biological macromolecules, established by Brookhaven National Laboratories [11].

IDENTIFICATION OF LIGANDS

All natural compounds were used for docking study and were downloaded from Pubchem. AllLigandsL-Arabinose,L-RhaminoseandD-Xylose were selected from different plants. Theseligand molecules were downloaded from the online serverPubChem(https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)

[12,13].All the ligands which were used in thestudy of docking were downloaded in 3D structure in ".sdf" format and then all the ligands were converted into ".pdb" format by the online server online SMILES Translator(https://cactus.nci.nih.gov/translate/) [14].

VIRTUAL SCREENING THROUGH PYRX

PyRx software was used for screening the ligands with target protein. This software was used for the observingthe binding energy with target protein. Ligands those having the minimum binding energy were analysed for the drug likeliness property. The target protein molecule was loaded in PyRx and

Kajal Vashistha et al. International Journal of Recent Research Aspects ISSN: 2349~7688, Vol. 8, Issue 2, June 2021, pp. 8~11

the protein molecule was converted from ".pdb"to".pdbqt" format. All the ligands were imported in PyRx from the specific folder in .sdf format, which were further converted from .sdf file to .pdbqt file. The minimum binding energy for all the ligands with the target protein were observed.

Drug Likeliness Property Analysis (SwissADME)

Drug likeliness property analysis was observed by "SwissADME". The ligands were screened to analyze their drug properties. Steps involved were as follows:

 \cdot Copied "CANONICAL SMILE" structures of ligands from "PubChem".

• It was pasted in "SwissADME" [15].

• Drugs were analyzed for Lipinski's rule of five. Lipinski rule of five states the following points:

1. Molecular weight (MW) = Not more than 500 Dalton.

2. Hydrogen bond donors (HBD) = Not more than 5 (< 5).

3. Hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA) = Not more than 10 (< 10).

4. Partition co-efficient (MLogP) = Not more than 5 (< 5).

5. Violation (Lipinski) = Not more than 1

DOCKING THROUGH AUTODOCKVINA

The protein target was uploaded in ".pdb" format. The protein molecule was prepared by Deleting of water molecules from the protein, by adding hydrogen polar atoms in target protein and by adding ofKollmancharges in protein. The protein molecule was saved in ". pdbqt" format.

Ligand molecule was also converted from ".pdb" to ". pdbqt" format. The grid box was managed for docking [15].

STRUCTURE VISUALIZATION THROUGH PYMOL

Final docked structure of the protein with ligand was visualized through the tool PyMOL 2.4. The protein molecule in ".pdbqt" format was uploaded with "output.pdbqt" file. Finally the docked structure was visualized on screen.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The structure of Mycobacterium DNA gyrasesubunit-B was downloaded in .pdb format from Uniprot(Protein Data Bank) as shown in Figure 1.

□ Method of downloading the protein - X-RAY DIFFRACTION

- □ Resolution power of protein 1.95 Å
- □ R-Value Free of protein 0.230
- □ R-Value Work of protein -0.210
- R-Value Observed of protein- 0.211



Figure 1: The crystal structure of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Protein DNA Gyrase Subunit-B (3M4I)

The natural compounds from different plants were downloaded from PubChem. The structures of L-Arabinose, L-Rhaminose and D-Xylose were downloaded in ".sdf" and also downloaded the 2-D or 3D structure of all the ligands asshown in Figure 2(a), (b), (c) and Figure 3(a), (b), (c) and Table 1. Finally, the downloaded structures were converted into ".pdb"format by "SMILES Translator and Structure File Generator".



Figure 2:2D Structure (a)L-Rhamanose(b)D-Xylose and (c) L-Arabinose

Kajal Vashistha et al. International Journal of Recent Research Aspects ISSN: 2349-7688, Vol. 8, Issue 2, June 2021, pp. 8-11



(a)

(b)



(c)

Figure 3: 3D Structure (a) L-Rhamanose (b) D-Xylose and (c) L-Arabinose. MOLECULAR DOCKING

In the Molecular docking study four ligands, *L-Arabinose*, *L-Rhaminose and D-Xylose* were virtually screened through PyRx software. The binding affinity values of compounds are as shown in Table 2. In PyRx result was observed and minimum binding energy of all ligands with target protein was also observed. All compounds *L-Arabinose*, *L-Rhaminose and D-Xylose* were ready to be analyzed through drug likeliness property analysis. In the observation throughSwissADME result the best ligand was*L-Arabinose.L-Arabinose* qualifies all five Lipinski's rule of five. *L-Arabinose* shows the best binding affinity with the targeted protein. "PyMOL" software showed the interaction between ligand and the target protein as shown in Figure 6. The*in silico* study observed that the compound*L-Arabinose* may be used in form of a drug for controlling Tuberculosis disease.

IV. TABLES

TABLE NO. 1 COMPOUNDS DETAIL									
S.NO.	COMPOUNDS NAME	PUBCHEM	MOLECUL	HYDROGE	HYDROGE	MLOGP			
		CID	AR	N BOND	N BOND				
			WEIGHT	DONOR	ACCEPTOR				
1.	L-RHAMANOSE	25310	164.16 g/mol	4	5	-1.94			
2.	D-XYLOSE	135191	150.13g/mol	4	5	-2.32			
3.	L-ARABINOSE	435195	150.13g/mol	4	5	-2.32			

Table 2: Binding affinity, Mode values, Pubchem CID and RSMD values (Lower and upper bound value) COMPOUNDS S.NO PUBCHE MODE RSMD LOWER RSMD BINDING NAME M CID VALUE VALUE **UPPER** AFFINITY VALUE L-RHAMANOSE 1. 25310 0 0.0 0.0 -5 2. **D-XYLOSE** 135191 0 0.0 0.0 -4.8 435195 -5.1 3. **L-ARABINOSE** 0 0.0 0.0

Table 3: Drug Likeliness Property									
COMPOUNDS NAME	MOLECULAR WEIGHT	H- BOND DONOR	HYDROGEN BOND ACCEPTOR	MLOGP	LIPINSKI VIOLATION				
L-RHAMANOSE	164.16 g/mol	4	5	-1.94	Yes; 0 violation				
D-XYLOSE	150.13g/mol	4	5	-2.32	Yes; 0 violation				
L-ARABINOSE	150.13g/mol	4	5	-2.32	Yes; 0 violation				

Kajal Vashistha et al. International Journal of Recent Research Aspects ISSN: 2349~7688, Vol. 8, Issue 2, June 2021, pp. 8~11

Table 4:Autodock result							
MODE	BINDING AFFINITY (kcal/mol)	DIST FROM BEST MODE					
		RMSD L.B.	RMSD U.B.				
1.	-5.1	0.000	0.000				
2.	-4.7	1.185	2.785				
3.	-4.5	1.380	3.558				
4.	-4.3	2.016	2.837				
5.	-4.3	1.480	2.845				
6.	-4.2	2.629	4.362				
7.	-4.2	2.011	3.777				
8.	-4.1	2.262	2.665				
9	-4.0	2.142	2.486				

V. CONCLUSION

The crystal structure of target protein DNA Gyrase Subunit-B was studied by molecular docking for drug discovery. This docking study showed the best compound "L-Arabinose" towards DNA Gyrase Subunit-B related protein. This *in-silico* study showed that "L-Arabinose" may be used in drugs which were prepared for the treatment of tuberculosis and may be used in the future as an anti-tuberculosis agents after getting positive results *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the help provided by Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Life sciences, Institute of Applied Medicines and Research, Ghaziabad, India.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1]. "Tuberculosis(TB)".www.who.int.Retrieved8May20
- [2]. Hirsh AE, T solaki AG, De Riemer K, Feldman M W, Small P M. Stable association Between strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and their human host populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004;101:4871– 6. [PMC free article][PubMed][GoogleScholar].
- [3]. "Basic TBF acts". Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).13 March 2012. Archived from the original on 6 February 2016. Retrieved 11 February 2016.
- [4]. Garrett RH, Grisham CM (2013). Biochemistry (5th, Internationaled). United States: Mary Finch. p. 949. ISBN978-1-133-10879-5.
- [5]. Jumpupto: a b c Sutormin D, Rubanova N, Logacheva M, Ghilarov D, Severinov K (2018). "Single-nucleotide-resolution mapping of DNA gyrase cleavage sites across the Escherichia coli genome". Nucleic Acids Research. 47 (3): 1373–1388. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1222.PMC6379681. PMID30517674.

- [6]. ^AWigley DB, Davies GJ, Dodson EJ, Maxwell A, Dodson G (June1991). "Crystal structure of an N-terminal fragment of the DNA gyrase B protein". Nature.351(6328): 624–9. Bibcode:1991 Natur.351..624W.doi:10.1038/351624a0.PMID164696 4.S2CID
- a. 4373125.
- [7]. ^Morais Cabral JH, Jackson AP, Smith CV, Shikotra N, MaxwellA, Liddington RC (August1997). "Crystal structure of the breakage-reunion domain of DNA gyrase". Nature.388(6645): 9036. Bibcode:1997Natur.388..903M.doi:10.1038/42294.PM ID9278055.S2CID4320715.
- [8]. DiMasi, J.A.; Hansen, R.W.; Grabowski, H.G. The price of innovation: New estimates of drug development costs. J. Health Econ. 2002, 22, 151–185.
- [9]. Caroline Horvath AJ, Gaelle Boulet AV, Virginie Renoux M, Philippe Delvenne O, John-Paul JB. Mechanisms of cell entry by human papillomaviruses: an overview. Virol J 2010; 7:11.
- [10]. Sureshkumar P, Senthilraja O, Kalavathy S. In silico docking analysis of Calotropis gigantean (l.) R. BR derived compound against anti-cervical activity.
- a. Bioinfo Publication 2012;1(1);9-12
- Berman, H. M. (2000). The Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Research, 28(1), 235–242. doi:10.1093/nar/28.1.235.
- [12]. Graff, J. & Mansuy, I. M. Epigenetic codes in cognition and behaviour. Behavioural brain research 192, 70–87, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2008.01.021 (2008)
- [13]. www.rcsb.org.
- [14]. https://cactus.nci.nih.gov/cgi-bin/translate.tcl